The Multi-Phase Model

Simulations Conclusion

Chemo-Dynamical Galaxy Evolution

Matthias Kühtreiber ¹ Gerhard Hensler, Rainer Spurzem, Peter Berczik, Lei Liu, The Silkroad-Project Team

¹Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

December 20, 2016









Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

Matthias Kühtreiber

Outline	Introduction 00000	The Multi-Phase Model	Simulations 000000000000	Conclusion
Outline				universität wien

- Dwarf Galaxies
- Interstellar Medium
- 2 The Multi-Phase Model
 - Hot/Warm Gas
 - Cold Clouds
 - Stellar Populations
 - Interaction Processes
- 3 Simulation
 - Initial Conditions
 - Results of Test Runs
- 4 Conclusions
- 5 Discussion

Matthias Kühtreiber

Introduction

The Multi-Phase Model

Simulations Conclusion

wiversität

Dwarf Galaxies: Definition

Properties

- Low-luminosity: $M_v \ge 10^{-17}$ mag
- Low-mass: $10^7 10^{10} M_{\odot}$
- Small in size: a few kpc
- Often low surface brightness, so they are hard to find

There are different types of dwarf galaxies:

- Dwarf irregulars (dlrr): Gas-rich, active ongoing star formation but relatively low surface brightness;
- Dwarf ellipticals (dEs): Gas-poor, old stellar population, many dEs show nuclei and are structurally different from luminous elliptical galaxies;
- Dwarf spheroidals (dSph): Gas-poor, extremely low luminosity;





Leo I: dSph



E 996

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

Matthias Kühtreiber

Dwarf Galaxies



Absolute magnitude M_v vs. central surface brightness μ_v :

- Local group dwarf galaxies
 - Blue compact dwarf galaxies
- 🛆 Milky Way, M31, M33, LMC
 - dEs and dIrrs follow the same relation, even to the very faint end.
 - But clearly distinct from ultra compact dwarfs (UCDs), globular clusters (GCs) and Hubble type galaxies



Tolstoy et al. (2009); reproduced by Liu Lei

Why are d	dwarf galaxies	interesting?		wiversität
Outline	Introduction 00●00	The Multi-Phase Model	Simulations 00000000000000	Conclusion

Dwarf galaxies

- are the most common class of galaxies.
- are relatively simple systems, not merger products.
- are currently being "absorbed" by larger galaxies (hierarchical formation).
- are extremely sensitive to their internal evolution and their environmental influences.

wien

Metallicity

Introduction 00000

The Multi-Phase Model

Simulations Conclusion



- DGs have usually low metallicities
- DGs follow a metallicity luminosity relation but dlrrs and dEs/dSphs follow different tracks
- Galactic outflows might be one cause for low metallicities
- Observations show different abundances for neutral/ionized gas
- Multi-Phase treatment for a more realistic chemo-dynamical evolution!



Figure 1. The dwarf irregular galaxy Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) at different wavelengths: a) optical image showing stars and luminous interstellar gas; b) $H\alpha$ image pronouncing star forming regions; c) X-ray here traces hot supernova expelled gas; d) large-scale neutral hydrogen gas structures in the 21 cm radio line. Please note the different scales of the four images.

< (T) > <

Chemo-Dynamical Galaxy Evolution

Matthias Kühtreiber

Outline	Introduction	The Multi-Phase Model	Simulations	Conclusion
	00000			

The Interstellar Medium



For heating-cooling balance 3 stable phases can form.

 $n^2 \Lambda(T) = nG$

$$\frac{\Lambda(T)}{T} = \frac{G}{nT}$$

- Cold medium: molecular clouds; $T \sim 100$ K; $n \sim 10^2 10^6$ cm⁻³
- hot gas: $T \sim 10^6$ K; $n \sim 10^{-1} 10^{-3}$ cm⁻³



Credit: Günter Hasinger

Chemo-Dynamical Galaxy Evolution

Matthias Kühtreiber

Introduction

The Multi-Phase Model

Simulations Conclusion

universität wien

The Multi-Phase Model

"Sticky" particle method by Theis & Hensler (1993)

Hot/Warm Component

- SPH particles
- Can condensate ⇒ cold clouds
- Receive feedback from SNII and SNIa

Cold Clouds

- N-body particles
- Can coagulate due to collisions
- Can form stars and fragment
- Can evaporate ⇒ hot/warm component
- Receive stellar wind and PNe feedback

The hot/warm and cold component can exchange mass, momentum and energy due to:

- condensation
- evaporation
- drag force
 Matthias Kühtreiber

Evaporation Drag Force Condensation Gravity Star formation Cold Coagulation Star Fragmentation FB: SW + PN -∢ ≣⇒

Hot/warm

(SPH)

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

Outline	Introduction 00000	The Multi-Phase Model	Simulations 000000000000	Conclusion
Hot Gas			6	wiversität



- The fluid is divided into a set of discrete elements (particles)
- A smoothing length h is applied to particles
- Properties are smoothed between neighbouring particles via a kernel function $W(\vec{r_{ij}},h)$

Outline Introduction The Multi-Phase Model Simulations

Field F is known at some points \vec{r} : $F = F(\vec{r})$ The smoothed interpolated version of F is defined as

$$F_s(\vec{r}) = \int F(\vec{r}) W(\vec{r} - \vec{r'}, h) d\vec{r'}$$

Can be approximated by a sum:

$$F_s(\vec{r}) \simeq \sum_j \frac{m_j}{\rho_j} F(\vec{r_j}) W(\vec{r} - \vec{r_j}, h)$$

$$\rho_i = \sum_j m_j W(\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_j}, h)$$

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

Conclusion

Matthias Kühtreiber

Smoothed	Particle	Hydrodynamics	(SPH)	<u>miversität</u>
Smootnea	Particle	H ydrodynamics	(SPH)	Wien

Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH)

Equation of motion and internal energy:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\sum_j m_j \left(\frac{P_i}{\rho_i^2} + \frac{P_j}{\rho_j^2} + \Pi_{ij}\right) \nabla_i W_{ij}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}u_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j m_j \left(\frac{P_i}{\rho_i^2} + \frac{P_j}{\rho_j^2} + \Pi_{ij} \right) (\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j) \nabla_i W_{ij}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} P_{\cdots} \text{ pressure} = (\gamma-1) \cdot \rho_i \cdot u_i \\ \Pi_{ij\cdots} \text{ artificial viscosity} \\ c_{ij\cdots} \text{ mean sound speed} = (c_i + c_j)/2 \\ \epsilon = 0.01 \\ f_{ij} = (f_i + f_j)/2 \end{array}$$

Artificial viscosity: $\Pi_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{-\alpha c_{ij}\mu_{ij} + \beta \mu_{ij}^2}{\rho_{ij}} & \mathbf{v}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} < 0, \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$

$$\mu_{ij} = \frac{h_{ij}(\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j) \cdot (\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)}{\mathbf{r}_{ij}^2 + \epsilon h_{ij}^2} f_{ij}$$

$$f_i = \frac{|(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v})_i|}{|(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v})_i| + |(\nabla \times \mathbf{v})_i| + \epsilon^2 c_i / h_i}$$

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

<ロ> <同> <同> < 回> < 回>

Matthias Kühtreiber

)utline	~			
	• •	 + 1	5	
				-

Cold Clouds

ntroduction

The Multi-Phase Model

Simulations Conclusion



Size of the cloud is calculated by mass-radius relation Larson (1981), Rivolo (1988):

$$h_{\rm cl} = 50 \sqrt{\frac{m_{\rm cl}}{10^6 \,\,{\rm M}_\odot}} ({\rm pc})$$



Figure 5. Mass-radius relation for 273 giant molecular clouds from the catalog of Solomon et al. (1997). The solid circles are calibrator clouds with known distances. The fit line is given by $M_{YT} = 330 \ 3^{-10} M_{\odot}$.

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

Matthias Kühtreiber

Cold Clouds

Introduction

The Multi-Phase Model

Simulations Conclusion

universität wien

Alternative: Pressure equilibrium with surrounding intercloud medium

$$h_{\rm cl} = \left(\frac{3n_{mol}R_{gas}T}{4\pi P}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$
$$P_i = \sum_j (\gamma - 1)m_j u_j W_{ij}$$





Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

Matthias Kühtreiber

The Multi-Phase Model

Simulations Conclusion

universität wien

Coagulation

Theis & Hensler (1993)

Find clouds j around target cloud i within radius $r_{s,p}$

Typical distance travelled within next timestep

$$r_{s,p} = 2\Delta t \sqrt{2} v_{\mathrm{vir},p} = \Delta t \sqrt{8/3\phi_p}$$

 $\Delta t...$ next timestep; $\phi_p...$ gravity potential on position of particle p 3 Check critical spin of compound object

$$m_1 m_2 / (m_1 + m_2) \cdot b \ v_{1,2} \le c_{ang} L_{max}$$

$$L_{\rm max} = \int \rho(\mathbf{r}) v_{\rm circ} \ r \sin \theta \ \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} = \frac{8}{21} \cdot \sqrt{Gm_{\rm cl}^3 h_{\rm cl}}.$$

b... impact parameter $c_{\rm ang} = 1 \\ \theta... \text{ angle between rotation axis and position } r$



Colisional cross-section

$$A_{\rm cr} = \eta_{\rm ov}^2 \cdot \pi h_{\rm cl}^2 \cdot \left(1 + \frac{2G(m_1 + m_2)}{\eta_{\rm ov} h_{\rm cl} v_{1,2}^2} \right)$$

$$\eta_{\rm ov} = 0.2;$$



Department of Astrophysics. University of Vienna

Matthias Kühtreiber

The Multi-Phase Model

Simulations Conclusion



Fragmentation

- Triggered by stellar feedback: SW and SNell drive an expanding shell
- The radius r_{sh} and velocity v_{sh} of the shell are determined by:

Expanding Shell

$$r_{\rm sh} = 0.961 \cdot \left(\frac{\dot{E}}{\rho_1}\right)^{0.25} \cdot t^{\ 0.75}$$

$$v_{\rm sh} = 0.736 \cdot \left(\frac{\dot{E}}{\rho_1}\right)^{0.25} \cdot t^{-0.25}$$

 $\rho_1...m_{cl}/h_{cl}^{(3-\alpha)}$; $\alpha...$ determines $\rho(r)$ of a cloud from mass-radius relation follows $\alpha=1$

- When r_{sh} reaches the edge of the cloud, it fragments into 4 smaller pieces.
- \blacksquare The fragments get the velocity of the expanding shell v_{sh} at that time.

Brown et al. (1995)



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

Matthias Kühtreiber

The Multi-Phase Model

Simulations Conclusion

Thermal Conduction



- Analytical formulae by Cowie et al. (1981)
- Leads to evaporation and condensation of clouds
- σ₀ represents the ratio between the electron mean free path λ_k and the cloud size h_{cl}:

Thermal Conduction

$$\sigma_0 = \left(\frac{T_{\rm hot}({\rm K})}{1.54\times 10^7}\right)^2 \ \frac{1}{\Phi \, n_{\rm hot}({\rm cm}^{-3}) \, h_{\rm cl}({\rm pc})}$$

 $T_{\rm hot}\ldots$ Temperature of hot/warm gas; $n_{\rm hot}\ldots$ number density of hot/warm gas; Φ_\ldots Effect of a magnetic field on reducing the mean free path of charged particles (is set to 1);

- If $h_{cl} < \lambda_k \ (\sigma_0 > 1) \Rightarrow$ evaporation occurs
- If $h_{cl} > \lambda_k \Rightarrow$ condensation occurs
- The transition value from evaporation to condensation is set to $\sigma_0 = 0.03$

${\sf Condensation}/{\sf Evaporation}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}m_{\mathrm{cl}}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\mathrm{kg/s}) &= \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0.825 \cdot T_{\mathrm{hot}}^{5/2} h_{\mathrm{cl}} \sigma_0^{-1} & \sigma_0 < 0.03 \\ -27.5 \cdot T_{\mathrm{hot}}^{5/2} h_{\mathrm{cl}} \Phi & 0.03 \le \sigma_0 \le 1 \\ -27.5 \cdot T_{\mathrm{hot}}^{5/2} h_{\mathrm{cl}} \Phi \sigma_0^{-5/8} & \sigma_0 > 1 \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

Matthias Kühtreiber

Introduction

The Multi-Phase Model

Simulations Conclusion

Thermal Conduction



$$\Delta m_{cl} = \frac{dm_{cl}}{dt} \cdot \Delta t_{CE}; \quad m_{cl}' = m_{cl} + \Delta m_{cl}; \quad m_{hot}' = m_{hot} + \Delta m_{cl};$$

Momentum Exchange

$$M_{hot} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{hot} + m_{cl} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{cl} = m'_{hot} \cdot \mathbf{v}'_{hot} + m'_{cl} \cdot \mathbf{v}'_{cl}$$

$$M'_{hot} = m_{hot} - \Delta m_{cl}$$
$$M'_{cl} = m_{cl} + \Delta m_{cl}$$

If $\Delta m_{cl} > 0:$ condensation If $\Delta m_{cl} < 0:$ evaporation

$$\begin{split} \overline{\text{Temperature Exchange}} \\ \hline \text{Condensation: } T'_{hot} &= T_{hot} \\ (m_{cl} + \Delta m_{cl}) \cdot T'_{cl} &= m_{cl} \cdot T_{cl} + \Delta m_{cl} \cdot T_{hot} \\ T'_{cl} &= \frac{m_{cl} \cdot T_{cl} + \Delta m_{cl} \cdot T_{hot}}{m_{cl} + \Delta m_{cl}} \\ \hline \text{Evaporation: } T'_{cl} &= T_{cl} \\ (m_{hot} - \Delta m_{cl}) \cdot T'_{hot} &= m_{hot} \cdot T_{hot} - \Delta m_{cl} \cdot T_{cl} \\ T'_{hot} &= \frac{m_{hot} \cdot T_{hot} - \Delta m_{cl} \cdot T_{cl}}{m_{hot} - \Delta m_{cl}} \end{split}$$

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

<ロ> <四> <四> <日> <日> <日</p>

Matthias Kühtreiber

Introduction

Cloud Dragging

The Multi-Phase Model

Simulations Conclusion



- - Different dynamics lead to a drag force acting on clouds
 - Analytical formulae by Shu et al. (1972)

Drag Force

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{D}} = -C_{\mathrm{D}} \cdot \pi h_{\mathrm{cl}}^2 \rho_{\mathrm{hot}} \cdot |\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{cl}} - \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{hot}}| \cdot (\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{cl}} - \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{hot}}).$$

 $C_{\rm D}$... Ratio between the effective cross section of a cloud and its geometrical one $(\pi h_{\rm cl}^2)$; $\mathbf{v}_{\rm cl} - \mathbf{v}_{\rm hot}$... Relative velocity of the cloud in a homogeneous surrounding hot medium;



▲ 同 ▶ → 三 ▶

Matthias Kühtreiber

Gas Cooling

Introduction

The Multi-Phase Model

Simulations Conclusion





Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

▲ 同 ▶ → 三 ▶

Matthias Kühtreiber

Outline	Introduction	The Multi-Phase Model	Conclusion
		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	

Jeans instability criterion can directly be used

• Check if $\lambda_{\rm J} < h_{cl} \Rightarrow$ collapse

$$\lambda_{\rm J} \equiv c_{\rm cl} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{G\rho_{\rm cl}}}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_*^{\mathrm{max}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{M_\mathrm{J}}{\tau_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathrm{ff}} V_\mathrm{J}} = \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{\frac{6G}{\pi}} \ \rho_{\mathrm{cl}}^{3/2}$$

$$\tau_{\rm cl}^{\rm ff} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{32G\rho_{\rm cl}}}$$

• $d\rho_*^{max}/dt$ is multiplied with a random number ϵ between 0.01 and 1 because usually not the total mass M_J is turned into stars within a free-fall time τ_{cl}^{fl}

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

Matthias Kühtreiber

Single S	Stellar Popula	ations		<i>wiversität</i>
Outline	Introduction 00000	The Multi-Phase Model ○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○	Simulations 000000000000	Conclusion

Initial mass function from Kroupa et al. (1993), with $m_{low} = 0.08 \ M_{\odot}$ and $m_{up} = 100 \ M_{\odot}$

$$\xi(m) = \begin{cases} 0.035m^{-1.3} \text{ if } 0.08 \le m < 0.5, \\ 0.019m^{-2.2} \text{ if } 0.5 \le m < 1.0, \\ 0.019m^{-2.7} \text{ if } 1.0 \le m < 100 \end{cases}$$

Stellar lifetimes from Raiteri et al. (1996):

 $\log t_{\star} = a_0(Z) + a_1(Z) \log M + a_2(Z) (\log M)^2$

 $\begin{aligned} a_0(Z) &= 10.13 + 0.07547 \log Z - 0.008084 (\log Z)^2 \\ a_1(Z) &= -4.424 - 0.7939 \log Z - 0.1187 (\log Z)^2 \end{aligned}$ $a_2(Z) = 1.262 + 0.3385 \log Z + 0.05417 (\log Z)^2$

• High mass stars: $M > 8 M_{\odot}$ Produce stellar winds and end their life as SNeII

- Intermediate mass stars: $0.8 M_{\odot} > M > 8 M_{\odot}$ Undergo PNe or SNela
- Low mass stars: $M < 8 M_{\odot}$ Do not evolve significantly during a Hubble time

Matthias Kühtreiber

wien

Feedback				universität
Dutline	Introduction 00000	The Multi-Phase Model	Simulations	Conclusion



- Stellar particles return mass, energy and chemical elements to surrounding hot and cold particles
- Feedback from SNe is added to the hot phase
- Feedback from SW and PNe is added to the cold phase
- Mass ejecta are calculated from models of Berczik & Petrov (2003)

ie	lds	

- SW & SNII: Portinari et al. (1998)
- PNe: van den Hoek & Groenwegen (1997)
- SNIa: Iwamoto et al. (1999)

Chemo-Dynamical Galaxy Evolution

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

An ender in some so	
Juume	

The Multi-Phase Model

Simulations Conclusion

Feedback





Matthias Kühtreiber

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

Outline	Introduction 00000	The Multi-Phase Model ○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○	Simulations 000000000000000	Conclusion
Feedback				universität wien

Feedback energy ΔE of a SSP in the time interval [t, t + dt]:

$$\Delta E = \left[\Delta E_{\mathsf{SW}}(t) + \Delta N_{\mathsf{PN}}(t)E_{\mathsf{PN}} + \left(\Delta N_{\mathsf{SNII}}(t)E_{\mathsf{SNII}} + \Delta N_{\mathsf{SNI}}(t)E_{\mathsf{SNI}}\right) \cdot SN_{\mathsf{eff}}\right]m_{\star}$$

$$\begin{split} E_{\mathsf{PN}} &= 10^{47} \mathrm{erg} \\ E_{\mathsf{SNII}} &= 10^{51} \mathrm{erg} \\ E_{\mathsf{SNI}} &= 10^{51} \mathrm{erg} \\ SN_{\mathrm{eff}} &= 0.05 \end{split}$$

Mass transfer of a SSP in the time interval [t, t + dt]:

$$\Delta m(t) = [\Delta m_{PN}(t) + \Delta m_{SNII}(t) + \Delta m_{SNI}(t) + \Delta m_{SW}(t)]m_{\star}$$

11 elements are taken into account: H, He, C, N, O, Ne, Mg, Si, S, Ca, Fe

$$\begin{split} \Delta m_k(t,Z) &= [\Delta m_{k,PN}(t,Z) + \Delta m_{k,SNII}(t,Z) + \\ \Delta m_{k,SNI}(t,Z) + \Delta m_{k,SW}(t,Z)] m_\star \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{c|c} N & \dots \text{ number of events} \\ \Delta m_k & \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ mass of stellar population}} \Delta m \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ mass of stellar population}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ mass of stellar population}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ mass of stellar population}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for element k}} \overline{\Delta m} \xrightarrow{\qquad m_\star \dots \text{ feedback mass for elemen$

Matthias Kühtreiber

Chemo-Dynamical Galaxy Evolution

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

э





$$\begin{split} m_{gas} &= m_{gas} + \Delta m(t) * frac \\ m_{k,gas} &= m_{k,gas} + \Delta m_k(t) * frac \end{split}$$

 m_{star} ... mass of stellar population

frac ... feedback fraction one of the neighbouring gas particles receives (e.g. $W(r_{i,j},h)$ or $N_{n,g,b}$)

 Δm_k ... feedback mass for element k

Matthias Kühtreiber

Chemo-Dynamical Galaxy Evolution

 $\Delta m...$ feedback mass

Outline	0				
Juline	()		+ 1	-	~
	${}^{\circ}$	u	u		e

The Multi-Phase Model

Simulations Conclusion

wiversität

Initial Conditions



- Miyamoto-Nagai profile with *a* = 0.2 kpc and *b* = 0.75 kpc
- Hot/warm: $M = 4 \times 10^7 \text{ M}_{\odot}$, $T = 10^6 \text{ K}$
- \blacksquare Cold: $M=1.96\times 10^9~{\rm M}_{\odot}$, $T=10^3~{\rm K}$

$$\Rightarrow M_{\text{gas}} = 2 imes 10^9 \ \text{M}_{\odot}$$

Matthias Kühtreiber



- Burkert profile with $r_0 = 3 \text{ kpc}$ and $\rho_0 = 1.49 \cdot 10^{-24} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$
- $\label{eq:masses} \begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \Rightarrow M_{\text{dm}} = 9.42 \times 10^9 \ \text{M}_{\odot} \\ \text{within 20 kpc} \end{array}$

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

The Multi-Phase Model

Simulations Conclusion

"dw26": Option SplitHot is enabled; $M_{warm,min} = 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}_{\odot}$; $M_{warm,max} = 8 \times 10^{3} \text{ M}_{\odot}$

h _{min}	h_{max}	e	dt_{min}	dt_{max}	Cdrag	r_{ce}	C_{coll}	dt_{coll}
[kpc]	[kpc]	[kpc]	[Myr]	[Myr]	-	[kpc]	-	[Myr]
10^{-2}	5	0.5	10^{-2}	10^{-1}	1	1	10^{-2}	8×10^{3}
	N	<i>M</i> , .	M	·	<i>T</i> /	$\overline{\Gamma}$		
- hot	''cold	INA 1	101	cold	hot	cold		
-	-	[IVI⊙]	[r	vi 🕡 J				
499995	50000	4×10	7 1.96	$\times 10^9$	10^{5}	10^{3}		



Matthias Kühtreiber

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

Outline	Introduction	The Multi-Phase Model	Simulations	Conclusion
			000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000000000

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

Matthias Kühtreiber

Outline	Introduction	The Multi-Phase Model	Simulations	Conclusion
			000000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000000

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - 釣ぬぐ

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

Matthias Kühtreiber



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Chemo-Dynamical Galaxy Evolution

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Chemo-Dynamical Galaxy Evolution

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Chemo-Dynamical Galaxy Evolution

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Chemo-Dynamical Galaxy Evolution

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna



Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

The Multi-Phase Model

Simulations Conclusion







Matthias Kühtreiber

Chemo-Dynamical Galaxy Evolution

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

< 🗗 >

Outline	Introduction	The Multi-Phase Model	Simulations	Conclusion
			000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000000000

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

Matthias Kühtreiber

Outline	Introduction	The Multi-Phase Model	Simulations	Conclusion
			000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000000000

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

Matthias Kühtreiber

Outline	Introduction	The Multi-Phase Model	Simulations	Conclusion
			000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000000000

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

Matthias Kühtreiber

	Introd
	0000



・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

Matthias Kühtreiber

Chemo-Dynamical Galaxy Evolution

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

Outline	Introduction	The Multi-Phase Model	Simulations	Conclusion
			000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000

Samland, Hensler, Theis (1997) ApJ 476, 544



FIG. 6.—Oxygen gradient of the CM in the equatorial plane after 15×10^9 yr. The error bars indicate the local fluctuations in the model. Observational data of the sun and of H II regions (*rhombi, triangles*) are plotted for comparison.



Fig. 9.—Observed [O/Fe] and [Fe/H] in comparison with a best-fit model. Abundances of disk and halo dwarf stars and B stars in the Orion association are plotted as squares, triangles, and filled rhombi, respectively. The numbers in the figure give the age (in units of 10° yr) to show how the abundance ratios evolve with time.

Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna

Matthias Kühtreiber

Outline	Introduction 00000	The Multi-Phase Model	Simulations 000000000000	Conclusion
Conclusi	on			wiversität wien

- The multi-phase model adds a lot additional dynamics
- Most parts are treated by analytical deliberations
- This can help to reduce the number of free parameters compared to single-phase models and less "subgrid-physics" is necessary.
- A single phase model can not reproduce typical properties of the ISM
- Multi-phase is necessary for modelling the characteristic chemical evolution of hot/warm gas and cold clouds. This is a strong motivation for favouring a multi-phase approach.